

STATE OF WASHINGTON

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION-

711 Capitol Way Rm 206, PO Box 40908 * Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 * (360) 753-1111 * Fax (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 * E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov * Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

July 20, 2005

KEVIN HAMILTON PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 3RD AVE STE 4800 SEATTLE WA 98101-3099

Subject: Final Order - PDC Case No. 05-136

Dear Mr. Hamilton:

Enclosed is the Public Disclosure Commission's Final Order that was entered in the above-referenced case at the Commission meeting on June 9, 2005. Also enclosed is a copy of the signed Stipulation of Facts, Violations and Penalty.

If you have questions, please contact me at (360) 664-8853, toll free at 1-877-601-2828 or by email at pstutzman@pdc.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

Philip E. Stutzman

Director of Compliance

Enclosures





[&]quot;The public's right to know of the financing of political campaigns and lobbying and the financial affairs of elected officials and candidates far outweighs any right that these matters remain secret and private."

| 1 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 8 | IN RE THE MATTER OF ENFORCEMENT PDC CASE NO. 05-136 | | |
| 9 | ACTION AGAINST FINAL ORDER | | |
| 10 | Washington State Democratic Central Committee, | | |
| 11 | Respondent. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | The Washington State Public Disclosure Commission (Commission) received a report | | |
| 15 | and Stipulation of Facts, Violations and Penalty in this case on June 9, 2005 at the Commission | | |
| 16 | Offices at the Evergreen Plaza Building, 711 Capitol Way, Room 206, Olympia, Washington. | | |
| 17 | The Commissioners present included: Michael Connelly, Chair; Jane Noland, Member; Bill | | |
| 18 | Brumsickle, Member; and, Ken Schellberg, Member. Senior Counsel Nancy Krier, | | |
| 19 | Commission counsel, was also present. | | |
| 20 | Appearing for the PDC staff were: Senior Assistant Attorney General Linda Dalton, | | |
| 21 | and PDC staff members Doug Ellis, Acting Assistant Director, and Phil Stutzman, Director of | | |
| 22 | Compliance. Also present was Vicki Rippie, Executive Director. | | |
| 23 | Appearing for the Respondent Washington State Democratic Central Committee was | | |
| 24 | Kevin Hamilton, attorney. | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 1 | ATTORNEY CENERAL OF WASHINGTON | | |

| 4 |
|----|
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

2

3

PDC staff members Ruthann Bryant and Jennifer Hansen served as recorders/reporters of proceedings. The proceedings were recorded. The proceedings were open to the public.

The matter before the Commission concerned allegations that the Respondent violated RCW 42.17.080 and RCW 42.17.090 by failing to timely disclose individual contributor detailed information for funds transferred from its federal account to its state non-exempt account, and failed to timely disclose debts and orders placed for candidate support expenditures for the 2004 election.

Following consideration of the written materials including the PDC Staff Report of Investigation dated May 26, 2005 with exhibits, Executive Summary and Staff Recommendations dated June 2, 2005, and the oral presentations by the parties, and further consideration of the parties' Stipulation of Facts, Violations and Penalty dated June 9, 2005 (Stipulation), the Commission accepted the Stipulation by a 4-0 vote. A copy of the Stipulation is hereby attached and incorporated. The Commission therefore enters the following findings and order.

I. FINDINGS

Based upon the stipulated facts and violations in the Stipulation, the Commission finds:

- That Respondent committed violations of RCW 42.17.080 and .090 by failing to timely report and disclose information required for \$394,544 in contributions from individual donors.
- 2. That the Respondent committed multiple violations of RCW 42.17.080 and .090 by failing to timely disclose debts and orders placed that totaled \$704,815 for candidate support expenditures during the 2004 election.

| 2 |
|----|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

II. ORDER

The Commission also orders as follows:

- 1. That the Stipulation is accepted;
- 2. That a total civil penalty of \$85,000 is assessed against the Respondent;
- 3. That Respondent will pay \$2,500 in attorneys fees and costs of investigation; and.
- 4. That payment of the penalty and attorneys fees/costs shall be made as follows: \$52,500 to be paid on or before December 31, 2005 and the remainder to be paid on or before December 31, 2006.

The Executive Director is authorized to sign the order on behalf of the Commission.

III. APPEALS

RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION

Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must place their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reasons for the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within TWENTY-ONE (21) BUSINESS DAYS of the date that the Commission serves this order upon the party. WAC 390-37-150. Service by the Commission on a party is accomplished on the date of mailing by U.S. mail if the order is mailed, or the date of personal service if personal service is made. RCW 34.05.010(19). The Commission orders are generally mailed via U.S. mail.

Pursuant to WAC 390-37-150, the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the petition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) business days from the date the petition is filed, the Commission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with

written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470(5), the Respondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final order before seeking judicial review by a superior court.

FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS - SUPERIOR COURT

Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a **final order** issued by the Public Disclosure Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within **30 days** of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final order on the parties. RCW 34.05.542(4) provides: "Service of the petition on the agency shall be by delivery of a copy of the petition to the office of the director, or other chief administrative officer or chairperson of the agency, at the principal office of the agency. Service of a copy by mail upon the other parties of record and the office of the attorney general shall be deemed complete upon deposit in the United States mail, as evidenced by the postmark."

If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration.

ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS

The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW 42.17.395-.397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid and ***

| 1 | no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action will be | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | taken without further order by the Commission. | | |
| 3 | h | | |
| 4 | So ORDERED this 20 day of July, 2005. | | |
| 5 | WASHINGTON STATE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION | | |
| 6 | DISCLOSURE COMMISSION | | |
| 7 | VIC (+ 101/2) | | |
| 8 | VICKI RIPPIE | | |
| 9 | Executive Director | | |
| 10 | Attachment: Stipulation of Facts, Violations and Penalty Dated June 9, 2005 | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER: | | |
| 13 | 7/20/05 | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | Copies of this Order to: | | |
| 16 | Linda Dalton Senior Assistant Attorney General | | |
| 17 | Washington State Attorney General's Office Government Compliance and Enforcement Division | | |
| 18 | 1125 Washington St. SE P.O. Box 40100 | | |
| 19 | Olympia, WA 98504-0100 | | |
| 20 | Nancy Krier Senior Counsel | | |
| 21 | Washington State Attorney General's Office Licensing and Administrative Law Division | | |
| 22 | 1125 Washington St. SE P.O. Box 40110 | | |
| 23 | Olympia, WA 98504-0110 | | |
| 24 | Kevin Hamilton | | |
| 25 | Perkins Coie LLP 1201 3 rd Ave. Ste 4800 | | |
| 26 | Seattle, WA 98101-3099 | | |

2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 IN THE MATTER OF ENFORCEMENT PDC CASE NO: 05-136 **ACTION AGAINST** STIPULATION OF FACTS, 10 VIOLATIONS AND PENALTY Washington State Democratic Central Committee 11 Respondent. 12 13 Washington State Democratic Central Committee (Respondent) and Public Disclosure 14 Commission Enforcement Staff (Staff) jointly submit this Stipulation of Facts, Violations and 15 Penalty for Commission consideration at the June 9, 2005 Commission meeting. The parties 16 17 agree that pursuant to RCW 42.17.360 et seq., the Commission has the authority to accept, 18 decline, or to suggest modifications to this Stipulation. 19 I. FACTS 20 The parties hereto agree that the Report of Investigation dated May 26, 2005 with 21 exhibits contains accurate statements of fact and is incorporated in this Stipulation by 22 reference. 23 Contributions 24

25

1

| i | 1 . | On September 14, 2004, Paul Berendt, Chair of the Washington State Democratic Centra |
|----|---------------|---|
| 2 | | Committee, notified the Public Disclosure Commission that the WSDCC planned to |
| 3 | | transfer funds from its Federal Account to its State Non-Exempt Account. He stated that |
| 4 | | the contributions to be transferred were from individual donors, and that each would be |
| 5 | | reported to the PDC in accordance with this agency's rules for reporting monetary |
| 6 | | contributions on forms C-3 and C-4. |
| 7 | 2. | On September 16, 2004, Susan Harris, then Assistant Director of the PDC, sent a letter to |
| 8 | | Mr. Berendt, confirming that the individual contributions composing the amount to be |
| 9 | | transferred to the WSDCC State Non-Exempt Account should be reported on form C-3 in |
| 10 | | accordance with state law. |
| 11 | 3. | On October 22, 2004, the WSDCC electronically filed a form C-3 for the committee's State |
| 12 | | Non-Exempt Account, indicating a deposit of \$394,544 on October 4, 2004. The source |
| 13 | | of the funds was listed on the report as "WSDCC Federal." No individual contributors |
| 14 | | were disclosed on the report. |
| 15 | 4. | The report's due date was October 12, 2004. |
| 16 | 5. | On at least three occasions from October 2004 through January 2005, PDC staff contacted |

- 5. On at least three occasions from October 2004 through January 2005, PDC staff contacted WSDCC staff to ascertain the whereabouts of the missing report information.
- 6. On January 24, 2005, the WSDCC electronically filed a form C-3 for the committee's State Non-Exempt Account, disclosing the individual sources of \$394,544 in contributions deposited on October 4, 2004. The report numbered 332 pages, and included more than 3,641 itemized contributions. Because it was initially filed as an original report, rather than an amendment of the October 22, 2004 C-3, it was replaced by an amended report on January 26, 2005.
- 7. The detailed contributor information was filed 103 days late.
 - B. Expenditures

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8.

6

7

9

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

11

| On February 15, 2005, WSDCC independent auditor Phil Lloyd advised the PDC staff that |
|--|
| his audit had uncovered a significant amount of debt, incurred through candidate support |
| expenditures and required to be reported by the WSDCC's State Non-Exempt Account |
| prior to the November 2, 2004 general election, had not been reported. |

- 9. On February 15, 2005, the WSDCC submitted amended C-4 reports for the 21 and 7 day pre-general election and post-election reporting periods. The reports listed a total of \$834,989 in debts incurred or outstanding during the periods covered by the reports. Some part of this debt appeared to be duplicative, or carried over from one reporting period to the next.
- 10. A total of \$704,815 in unique debt was required to be disclosed by WSDCC on the 21 and 7 day pre-general election C-4 reports, and on the post-general election report, and was disclosed between 67 and 126 days late as noted below:

| C-4 Report | <u>Debts</u> | Days Late |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| 21-day C-4 | \$124,521 | 126 |
| 7-day C-4 | \$541,716 | 112 |
| Post Election | <u>\$ 38,578</u> | 67 |
| Total Debt | \$704,815 | |

C. Prior conduct

11. On April 1, 2004 Mr. Berendt sent a letter to the PDC verifying that a required annual audit of the WSDCC's books had been completed by Phil Lloyd. Mr. Lloyd's audit revealed only minor discrepancies between WSDCC's records and its PDC filings, but noted that \$34,233 in obligations to political consultants for the WSDCC's in-kind contributions in October of 2003 appeared not to have been reported as debt on the party's 7 day pregeneral election C-4 report, and were disclosed on the C-4 only after the debts had been paid.

| 12. On February 2, 2004, then PDC Chief Political Finance Specialist Kurt Young completed a |
|---|
| limited scope audit of the 2002 WSDCC election activities. In an Auditor's Opinion Letter |
| sent to WSDCC Chair Paul Berendt on May 20, 2004, Mr. Young noted that no orders |
| placed, debts or obligations were reported by WSDCC's State Non-Exempt Account for |
| the 2002 general election. Mr. Young concluded that approximately \$185,740 in |
| expenditures made by WSDCC to political consulting firms for candidate support |
| expenditures should have been reported as orders placed, debts or obligations. He |
| reminded Mr. Berendt that WSDCC was required by WAC 390-16-205 to report |
| expenditures by consultants as if they had been made or incurred by WSDCC directly, and |
| enclosed a copy of the rule with his letter. He recommended that WSDCC develop better |
| internal controls to track orders placed, debts and obligations made through political |
| consultants for the 2004 election cycle. |

13. On May 20, 2004, Philip Stutzman, the PDC's Director of Compliance, sent a letter to Mr. Berendt cautioning Mr. Berendt that WSDCC was required to adhere to disclosure and reporting requirements, including the requirement to disclose orders placed with political consultants. He warned Mr. Berendt that failure by WSDCC to comply with reporting requirements during future election cycles, including the specific requirements for orders placed, debts and obligations, could result in enforcement action.

II. VIOLATIONS

Respondent and Staff agree that based upon the facts stipulated above and set forth in the Report of Investigation, the Respondent committed violations of RCW 42.17.080 and .090 by failing to timely report and disclose information required for \$394,544 in contributions from individual donors. Additionally, Respondent and Staff agree that based upon the facts stipulated above and set forth in the Report of Investigation, the Respondent committed

| 1 | multiple violations of RCW 42.17.080 and .090 by failing to timely disclose debts and orders |
|-----|--|
| 2 | placed that totaled \$704,815 for candidate support expenditures during the 2004 election. |
| 3 | III. PENALTY |
| 4 | Based on the foregoing stipulations to facts and violations, the parties agree that the |
| 5 | following penalty is appropriate: |
| 6 | 1. A civil penalty in the amount of \$85,000. |
| 7 | 2. Payment of \$2,500 in attorneys fees and costs of investigation. |
| 8 | 3. Payment of the above shall be made as follows: \$52,500 to be paid on or before |
| 9 | December 31, 2005 and the remainder to be paid on or before December 31, 2006. |
| 10 | 1/10/2 + 1/10 |
| 11, | Vicki Rippie, Executive Director Date Signed 6 9 05 Date Signed |
| 12 | 6/9/05 |
| 13 | Kevin Hamilton, WSBA #15648 Date Signed Attorney for Respondent |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |



STATE OF WASHINGTON

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm 206, PO Box 40908 * Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 * (360) 753-1111 * Fax (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 * E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov * Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

July 20, 2005

KEVIN HAMILTON PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 3RD AVE STE 4800 SEATTLE WA 98101-3099

Subject: Stipulation and Order on Disposition of Suspended Penalty

Dear Mr. Hamilton:

Enclosed is the Public Disclosure Commission's Stipulation and Order on Disposition of Suspended Penalty that was entered at the Commission meeting on June 9, 2005. The action concerns Thurston County Superior Court case number 03-2-01235-0 which resulted from PDC Case Number 03-005.

If you have questions, please contact me at (360) 664-8853, toll free at 1-877-601-2828 or by email at pstutzman@pdc.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

Philip E. Stutzman

Director of Compliance

Enclosures

[&]quot;The public's right to know of the financing of political campaigns and lobbying and the financial affairs of elected officials and candidates far outweighs any right that these matters remain secret and private."

terms and conditions of the partial penalty assessment suspension shall be deemed

satisfied, the partial penalty assessment suspension shall be set aside, and the

| l | |
|----------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | I |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 19 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | 1 |
| 25 | |

jurisdiction of the Commission and the Court over this matter shall be terminated, all without further order of the Court.

Emphasis added.

Based a Report of Investigation dated May 26, 2005, the parties have agreed to a resolution of the violations outlined in that report.

II. STIPULATION

- The Respondent and Staff agree that, in the event the Report of Investigation dated May 26,
 2005 had been presented to the full Commission, it is likely the Commission would have determined that a referral to the Attorney General's Office was appropriate.
- 2. The Respondent and Staff agree that based on the conduct at issue in the Report of Investigation dated May 26, 2005, the Commission would likely have scheduled a hearing on the suspended portion of the Judgment in case number 03-2-1235-9 and imposed the full amount of the suspended penalty.
- 3. The Respondent and Staff therefore agree the condition in the judgment in Thurston County case number 03-2-01235-9 has been met and that imposition and payment of the full suspended portion of the penalty in the amount of \$100,000 from case number 03-2-01235-9 is appropriate at this time.
- 4. Respondent agrees to pay said amount as outlined in the Order below and waives any right to challenge the obligation to pay that penalty.

DATED this 9th day of June, 2005.

Vicki Rippie, Executive Director

Public Disclosure Commission

Revin J. Hamilton, WSBA #15648

Attorney for Respondent
Washington State Democratic
Central Committee